ENTERED

January 23, 2018
David J. Bradlev. Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

GRYPHON OILFIELD SOLUTIONS,	§	
LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-17-3220
	§	
STAGE COMPLETIONS (USA)	§	
CORPORATION, et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
STAGE COMPLETIONS (USA) CORPORATION, et al.,	9 % % % % % %	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-17-3220

ORDER

Plaintiff Gryphon Oilfield Solutions, LLC ("Gryphon") filed a patent infringement complaint against Defendants Stage Completions (USA) Corporation ("Stage USA") and Stage Completions Inc. ("Stage Inc."), a Canadian company. After Stage Inc. failed to respond, Gryphon filed a Motion for Default Judgment [Doc. # 53] against Stage Inc., who filed a Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 59] pursuant to Rule 12(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for lack of proper service, pursuant to Rule 12(b)(5) for insufficient service of process, and pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) for lack of personal jurisdiction. Gryphon filed a Motion to Strike the Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 66] as untimely.

Federal courts disfavor default judgments, and will generally vacate a default judgment if a late response is ultimately filed. See, e.g., Harper Macleod Solicitors

v. Keaty & Keaty, 260 F.3d 389, 393 (5th Cir. 2001); APD Tech, LLC v. Emeritus

Equity Holdings, LLC, 2017 WL 6284678, *2 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 9, 2017) (noting that

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure disfavor default judgments and that "they should

only be resorted to by courts in extreme situations"), report and recommendation

adopted, 2017 WL 6209612 (S.D. Tex. Dec. 7, 2017). Indeed, the Fifth Circuit

requires a district court to "set aside a default judgment as void if it determines that

it lacked personal jurisdiction over the defendant because of defective service of

process." See id. (citing Bludworth Bond Shipyard, Inc. v. M/V Caribbean Wind, 841

F.2d 646, 649 (5th Cir.1988)). Because Stage Inc. has filed a Motion to Dismiss

challenging both service and personal jurisdiction, even if it were untimely, default

judgment would be inappropriate. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Motion for Default Judgment [Doc. # 53] and the Motion

to Strike Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 66] are **DENIED**.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 23rd day of January, 2018.

NANCY F. ATLAS

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE